



Entered on Docket  
April 30, 2010

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mike K. Nakagawa".

Hon. Mike K. Nakagawa  
United States Bankruptcy Judge

Kristin A. Schuler-Hintz, Esq., SBN 7171  
Seth J. Adams, Esq., SBN 11034  
Christopher K. Lezak, Esq., SBN 11185  
Sherry A. Moore, Esq., SBN 11215  
McCarthy & Holthus, LLP  
9510 West Sahara Suite #110  
Las Vegas, NV 89117  
Phone (702) 685-0329  
Fax (866) 339-5691  
[NVBK@McCarthyHolthus.com](mailto:NVBK@McCarthyHolthus.com)

Attorney for Secured Creditor,  
Wells Fargo Bank, NA, its assignees and/or successors

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

In re:	) Case No.: 10-11727-MKN
	)
Juanita Cheri Hayes,	) Chapter 7
	)
Debtor.	) DATE: 04/14/10
	) TIME: 1:30 pm
	)
	) <b>ORDER TERMINATING</b>
	) <b>AUTOMATIC STAY</b>
	)

1 The Motion for Relief from Automatic Stay came on regularly for hearing at the date and  
2 time set forth above before the United States Bankruptcy Court. Upon review of the Motion and  
3 supporting evidence, and good cause appearing, the Court rules as follows:

4 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the automatic stay  
5 provisions of 11 U.S.C. §362 be and are hereby terminated with respect to the interests of  
6 Movant in the real property commonly known as 3878 Snow Valley Drive, Reno, NV 89508.

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Movant may proceed with post-foreclosure remedies,  
8 including any unlawful detainer action, in compliance with applicable law.

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10  
11 Submitted by:  
12 McCarthy & Holthus, LLP

13 /s/Christopher K. Lezak  
14 Christopher K. Lezak, Esq..  
15 9510 West Sahara Suite #110  
16 Las Vegas, NV 89117  
17 702-685-0329

18 Approved/Disapproved

19 Order Filed 02/02/10-no response received  
20 William A. Leonard  
21 6625 S. Valley View #224  
22 Las Vegas, NV 89118  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

ALTERNATIVE METHOD re; RULE 9021:

In accordance with LR 9021, counsel submitting this document certifies as follows (check one):

☐ The court has waived the requirement of approval under LR 9021.

X This is a Chapter 7 or 13 case, and either with the motion, or at the hearing, I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the hearing, any unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing, and each has approved or disapproved the order, or failed to respond, as indicated below [list each party and whether the party has approved, disapproved, or failed to respond to the document]:

☐ This is a Chapter 9, 11, or 15 case, and I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the hearing, any unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing, and each has approved or disapproved the order, or failed to respond, as indicated below [list each party and whether the party has approved, disapproved, or failed to respond to the document]:

☐ I certify that I have served a copy of this order with the motion, and no parties appeared or filed written objections.

Counsel appearing: Pro Se-Order mailed 04/16/10

Unrepresented parties appearing: None

Trustee: William A. Leonard- Order Filed 02/02/10-no response received

Submitted by:  
McCarthy & Holthus, LLP

/s/ Christopher K. Lezak.  
Christopher K. Lezak, Esq.

###